# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,569	03/04/2003	Glen Scott Palmer	8257.21USWO	2469
23552 7590 10/01/2007 MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			EXAMINER	
			SILBERMANN, JOANNE .	
MINNEAPOLI	15, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/937,569	PALMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joanne Silbermann	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 June 2007</u> .					
• —	,—					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	03 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,4-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific part of the speci	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date (a) 107	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/937,569

Art Unit: 3611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viret et al. US #3,241,256 in view of Japanese Publication JP1993210014A to Daimon et al.
- 3. Viret teaches a display system including transparent medium 12 with first and second surfaces (Figure 1) having a matrix of spots 22 on one surface (Figure 2). The spots do not form part of message (on tape 16, as described in column 3 lines 7-10). Viret teaches the dots as having "any size or shape necessary or convenient to produce the desired distribution of the light rays with uniform brightness" (column 4 lines 54-57).
- 4. Daimon teaches light diffusing members 12 (Figure 26a) having a circular shape with a hollow interior. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize the shape of the diffusing members as shown in Daimon on the illuminated medium of Viret to provide increased yet uniform illumination for the indicia.
- 5. The shape of the interior of each spot corresponds to the shape of the outer periphery (Daimon, Figure 26a).
- 6. The spots may be translucent or opaque (Viret column 4 lines 34-36), which inherently includes light colors, white, and yellow.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

7. The spots increase in size with increasing distance from the light source (Viret Figure 5) and cover substantially the entire illuminated surface.

- 8. Light sources 18 are in optical communication with the transparent medium (Viret Figure 1) and the edges of the openings where the light sources are placed are transverse with respect to the indicia surface.
- 9. Viret does not teach indicia on both sides of the display, however this is well known in the art of signs and displays. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide a double-sided display so that more information could be provided on a single display. Also, it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

# Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

A new rejection has been made to address the hollow spots.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,569

Art Unit: 3611

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Joanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

js 22 September 2007